1	Q.	Schedule VIII of the evidence of H.G. Budgell indicates different loads than
2		Schedule V (see 2001 and 2002). Confirm that these differences reflect the
3		inclusion in Schedule VIII of loads met by customers' generation sources.
4		Revise Schedules X to indicate load forecast excluding load met by
5		customers' generation sources.
6		
7	Α.	The loads presented in the direct evidence of H.G. Budgell Schedules V and
8		VIII are different since Schedule VIII loads are for the Total Island
9		Interconnected System, inclusive of load supplied by customers' own
10		generation. Schedule V, by contrast, represents just Hydro's own supply
11		requirements for the Island Interconnected System. The load forecasts
12		contained in Schedules V and VIII are built up from differing methodologies,
13		notably for non-industrial loads, and some underlying differences would be
14		expected.
15		
16		Please see attached table for revised Schedule X with the load met by
17		customers' generation sources removed from the load forecast and also with
18		those sources removed from system capability.

IC-200 Page 2 of 2

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro

Island Interconnected System

Existing Generating Capability

Net of Customer Generation and Customer Serviced Load

Energy Balances and LOLH Indices

	Load Forecast		Existing System			
		Firm	Net	Firm		Energy
	Peak	Energy	Capacity	Capability	LOLH	Balance
Year	MW	<u>GWh</u>	MW	<u>GWh</u>	<u>Hrs/yr</u>	<u>GWh</u>
2001	1,303	6,409	1,559	6,733	2.85	324
2002	1,329	6,557	1,559	6,733	3.96	176
2003	1,338	6,620	1,559	6,733	4.70	113
2004	1,359	6,711	1,559	6,733	5.50	22
2005	1,379	6,792	1,559	6,733	8.48	(59)
2006	1,400	6,871	1,559	6,733	11.14	(138)
2007	1,423	6,966	1,559	6,733	15.04	(233)
2008	1,446	7,063	1,559	6,733	17.52	(330)
2009	1,462	7,126	1,559	6,733	24.37	(393)
2010	1,468	7,161	1,559	6,733	26.44	(428)